Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/722,529	WU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John J. Figueroa	1712
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n: Ready for Examination
(1) <u>John J. Figueroa</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Donald. V. Tomkins (780/424-2200)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 December 2005	Time: <u>2:15 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1 and 3-40 as part of Restriction Requirement. Applicant Prior art documents discussed:	nt subsequently elected Group II, cla	nims 27-33.
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicate of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sedirection. 	ion. The examiner will provide a	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An election from a restriction requirement was requested from Mr. Donald Tomkins, attorney for Applicants. The restriction was: Group I: claims 1 and 3-26 drawn to a drilling fluid comprising surfactants (507/106); Group II: claims 27-33 drawn to a process of making a drilling fluid (175/65); and Group III: claims 34-40 drawn to a drilling fluid comprising an oil carrier (507/138). Mr. Tomkins contacted the Examiner later that day to express Applicant's wish to elect Group II, the process claims.